A Novel Approach of Association Rule Hiding Using DBCT (Distortion, Blocking and Cryptographic Technique)
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Abstract
Associtative rule hiding is a technique used in hiding sensitive data, during data processing to secure the sensitive association rules generated using association rule mining. Several methods were planned within the literature for hiding sensitive data items. Few apply distributed databases across various sites, few indulged data perturbation, and few utilized clustering and few of them employ data distortion technique. Algorithms supporting this method will follow either of the following two techniques. Hide a particular rule with the help of data alteration technique or hide the principles relying on the sensitivity of the items to be hidden. The proposed perspective dependent on data distortion technique which modifies the position of the sensitive items, yet its support is not at all altered and also used the ideology of representative results to shear the rules initially and then hides those sensitive rules. Experimental results exhibit that proposed method hides lot of rules at a minimum range of database scans in contrast to existing algorithms supporting data distortion technique.
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Introduction
Machine learning is categorized into two types.

i) Supervised learning is a data mining task of surmising a function from labeled training data. The training data includes set of training examples. Each example in supervised learning has a set of input objects along with desired output values.

ii) While the complication of an unsupervised learning task is for discovering hidden structured data which is neither classified nor labeled. The algorithm has to act on that information without guidance.

A. Data Mining:
Data mining is the procedure used for sorting out the huge data sets so that to identify patterns and establish relationships by solving problems with the help of data analysis. Data need to be altered in order that information couldn’t be identified by data mining techniques. Handling such sensitive data is the most important criteria from being accessed by the unauthorized activities. This has led to the disclosure of risks if the data is revealed to outside parties. This perspective has led to the research of hiding the sensitive information within database.

B. Privacy preserving data mining:
Privacy preserving is regarded as an important concern in association with data mining. This includes protecting independent data and the sensitive information without affecting the efficacy of the data. For preserving the privacy of information one should alter the original database in so that sensitive information shouldn’t get involved in the mining result while the non-sensitive information was obtained. For securing sensitive association rules, privacy preserving data mining includes the concept named “association rule hiding”. Before getting involved in this area, association rule mining technique has to be concerned.

Mining frequent patterns, associations and correlations:
Frequent patterns are those appearing continuously in data sets.

Eg: Light Cream + Chicken = Frequent Itemset

Frequent pattern mining looks for recursive relationships of a given dataset. Frequent item set mining guides to locate associations and correlations among items of large transactions or relational datasets.

“Finding hidden patterns play an crucial part in mining associations, correlations and decision making in Business which improves sales and Profits”

With immense volumes of information regularly gathered and stored, several companies are growing curious in mining those patterns present in their databases. Uncovering of interesting correlation relationships between vast accounts of business decision making process like customer shopping analysis.
Background and Related Work:
In 2004, Vassilios et al. [4] Proposed two fundamental approaches so that to safeguard sensitive rules from revelation. The initial proposal avoids rules from being obtained by concealing the frequent sets from that they're obtained. The other proposal minimize the significance of the rules of specifying their confidence below a user-specified threshold. Based on these approaches they have developed five-algorithms and evaluated so that to analyze time complexity and their influence on original database.
In 2012, Dhyanendra Jain et al. [5] has proposed to modify the database transactions for reducing the confidence of sensible rules with no change in the support of the sensitive item, that is in distinction with previously existing algorithms, that either decline or raises the aid of the sensitive item to change the database transactions.
In 2015, NeelkamalUpadhyay et al. [1] Has made a survey about association rule hiding approaches. Also included the merits and demerits of each technique involved in the association rule hiding process.
Kshitij Pathak et al. [2] Introduced a system for fast Privacy preserving association rule, including the idea of PC clusters for performing the task in parallel, in order to obtain improved outcomes during execution time.
Sunil Kumar et al. [3] Suggested an algorithm for hiding association rules in data mining, thereby, reduced the number of modifications and hide more rules in less time. As well the effectiveness of the algorithm is compared with ISLF and DSRF approach. 

Problem Definition

A. Spatial association rule mining:
Association rule mining is the efficient data mining technique for identifying hidden patterns from huge masses of data which was initially presented by R. Agarwal in 1993. For the fuller understanding of association rule mining, consider following example.
B. Market Basket Analysis:
This procedure studies client purchasing practices by discovering association among various item sets that customer places in their shopping baskets. Obtaining those item sets and associations thereby helping retailers to expand selling methods by gaining insight into matters that are often bought along by customers. Market Basket Analysis retailers do selective marketing and organize their shuffle space.

"Seeing the Customer Behavior which groups or lots of items are customers likely to purchase on a given trip to store?"

Market Basket Analysis might be conducted on retail data of customer transactions at the depot. Effects were used for planning, marketing/ advertising to design new catalogue.
Ex: Clients who buy PCs additionally tend to purchase the antivirus software simultaneously is represented in the association pattern. Association rule generates the highest number of rules and only a few are concerned. For solving this interested measurement problem minimum support and minimum confidence thresholds are used for each principle.

Two standards of rule interestingness:
1. Support
2. Confidence

Support is 2% of all the transactions under analysis exhibits PC and antivirus software are bought simultaneously while confidence is 60%, i.e., 60% of customers who purchased a PC also bought the software.

"Typically association rules are considered interesting if they satisfy both minimum support threshold and minimum confidence threshold."

Consider I= {I1, I2,……… I'm} as set of items, D is a transactional database where each transaction T is a non-empty item set so that T⊆I. A unique identifier TID is associated with each transaction.

C. Association rule:
An association rule is of the form A =>B where A and B are subsets of item set in I. A ⊆ I, B ⊆ I, and A∩B=Ø. In the rule, A => B, where A is called the antecedent (left-hand-side) and B is the consequent (right-hand-side). Support for a rule A => B, is denoted by S (A=>B), the percentage of transactions in D that contain A∪B i.e both the items sets A and B.
The probability is taken as Support (A=>B) = P (A ∪ B). Confidence for a rule A => B, is denoted by C (A =>B), the percentage of transactions in D that contain A and also contain B. The probability is taken as C (A=>B) = P (B | A).

P (B | A)= support(A ∪ B)/ support(A)
Rules that satisfy the minimum confidence threshold and minimum support threshold are called “strong”

The occurrence of the frequency of an item set:

Association rule mining

Find all frequent item sets

Association Rule Generator

Figure 1: Association Rule Mining Process
There are various association rule mining algorithms namely *Apriori algorithm, Partition algorithm, Pincher search algorithm, Dynamic item set counting algorithm.*

**Apriori algorithm** proposed by R. Agarwal and R. Srikant in 1994 for mining frequent item sets of Boolean association rules. This algorithm employs prior knowledge of frequent item set properties. It uses an iterative approach called as a level-wise search, in which k-item lots are utilized to explore (k+1) item sets. The set of frequent 1-item sets is identified by scanning the database to accumulate the count of each point and collecting those items that satisfy minimum support. The derived set is denoted by L1. Next L1 is used to find L2 i.e., the set of frequent 2-item sets which are practised to find L3 and so on until no more frequent k-item sets are set up.

**Apriori Property is used to cut down the search distance.**

“All non-empty subsets of a frequent item set must also be frequent”

If an item sets I don’t satisfy the minimum support threshold, min_sup, then I is not frequent, i.e., p (I) < min_sup. For suppose item set A is added to the item set I, then the resulting item sets I ∪ A can’t occur more frequently than I. Therefore, I ∪ A is not frequent either i.e.,

P (I ∪ A) < min_sup

“Anti-Monotonicity: If a set cannot pass a test, all of its supersets will fail the same examination as good”

A two-step procedure is adopted, containing join and prune.

**Join Step:**

- Chicken
- Light cream
- Pasta

**Support:**

- Chicken, Light cream = 4/6 = 66.66%
- Chicken, Pasta = 4/6 = 66.66%
- Light cream, Pasta = 3/6 = 50%
- Chicken, Light Cream
- Chicken, Pasta, Chicken, Pasta
- Light cream, Pasta

**Support:**

- Chicken, Light cream, Pasta = 33/6 = 50%

**PRUNING:**

- ITEMS: Chicken, Light cream, Pasta
- Chicken (Light cream = 4/6 = 66.66%
- Chicken (Pasta = 4/6 = 66.66%
- Light cream (Chicken = 4/4 = 100%
- Light cream (Pasta = ¼ = 75%
- Pasta (Chicken = 4/4 = 100%
- Pasta (Light cream = ¼ = 75%
- Chicken (Light cream, Pasta = 4/6 = 66.66%
- Light cream (Chicken, Pasta = ¾ = 75%
- Chicken, Light cream (Pasta = ¾ = 75%
- Chicken, Pasta (Light cream = ¾ = 75%
- Light cream, Pasta (Chicken = 3/3 = 100%

**Frequent Item Sets:**

- Light cream (Chicken
- Pasta (Chicken
- Pasta, Light cream (Chicken

**Proposed Approach**

In that respect are different approaches for association rule hiding, where and which we mainly discuss and implement distortion and cryptographic method in this theme.

**Association rule hiding for privacy-preserving data mining:**

Association rule hiding is a latest updated procedure in data mining, that analyzes the matter of hiding sensitive association rules in the data, i.e. maintaining the privacy and security of item sets in traditional databases.

Association rule hiding must satisfy some conditions which are presented to a lower place:

i) **Sensitive rule should not be generated from Sanitize database.**

ii) **Non of sensitive rule must be generated from Sanitized database.**

iii) **No new rule which is present in the database should be generated from Sanitized database.**

**D. Association rules hiding approaches:**
These attacks are classified into five categories which are talked about infra.

1. **Heuristic Based Approach**: is further sorted into two types i) Data distortion technique ii) Data block technique.

i) **Data Distortion Technique**: In this method includes restoring 1-values to 0-values (delete items) or 0-values to 1-values (include items). Rule hiding in data distortion based system can be done by two methods. At first reducing the confidence of rules and second is lessening the support of the rules. The regulations with minimum confidence threshold is 70%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confidence</th>
<th>Light Cream =&gt; Chicken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4/4 = 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Cream, Pasta =&gt; Chicken</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/3 = 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken, Light cream =&gt; Pasta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/4 = 75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Item-Sets Showing the Confidence %**

Now we apply data distortion technique for hiding the following set of Association rules, If we want to hide the rule, **Light Cream => Chicken** we will consider the original dataset and then we hide the association rule using Data Distortion followed by Data Blocking and to enhance more privacy we then implement Cryptographic Technique. Data distortion is classified into two categories inorder to hide sensitive association rules.

**Category-1: Increase support of LHS**

- Search for transaction which support **Light Cream=Chicken=1**
- Update the values of Light cream from 1 to 0 where Light cream = Chicken = 1.

Consider an example of traditional data,

**Table 2: Transactional Data showing List of Six Transactions happened on a Particular Day**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction ID</th>
<th>List of Items IDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>Chicken, Light Cream, Pasta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T200</td>
<td>Chicken, Light Cream, Pasta, Avocado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300</td>
<td>Chicken, Light Cream, Pasta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T400</td>
<td>Chicken, Light Cream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T500</td>
<td>Chicken, Shrimp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T600</td>
<td>Chicken, Pasta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Transactional Data showing List of Six Transaction Records**

**Category-1: A transferred database D, where rules on LHS will be hidden.**

Now we are trying to hide the rule, **Light Cream => Chicken**

**Step-1: Search for transaction which support**

**Light Cream=Chicken=1**

**Step-2: Now update table, put 0 for Item Light Cream all the transaction where Light Cream=Chicken=1 Transactions which support Light Cream = Chicken=1 are**
Table 4: Transactional Data showing List of Four Transaction Records

Now applying step-2 on the two transactions.

We update the value of **Light** **Cream** from 1 to 0 and also set the updated basket size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>I1, I2, I3, I4</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>I1, I2, I3</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T200</td>
<td>I1, I2, I3, I4</td>
<td>11110</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300</td>
<td>I1, I2, I3</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T400</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>11000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Updated Table after Hiding Item-2 (Category-1)

Category-2: A transformed database D, where rules containing item on RHS will be hidden.

For the same rule **Cream** => **Chicken**

if we want to hide the rule, we update the value from 1 to 0 on RHS.

For hiding the association rule, follow the following two steps:

**Step-1:** Search for transaction which has support for Light Cream=Chicken=1

**Step-2:** Now update the values from 1 to 0 on RHS part of the transaction and also set the updated basket size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>I1, I2, I3, I4</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>01000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T200</td>
<td>I1, I2, I3</td>
<td>01110</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300</td>
<td>I1, I3</td>
<td>01000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T400</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>10001</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T500</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>10100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Updated Table after Hiding Item-5 (Category-2)

Now if we calculate the confidence for the rule, {I5} =>{ I1, I2}, the confidence is reduced from 100% to 0%. It means the rule is successfully hidden.

**ii) Data blocking technique:** is used to maximise or minimise the support of the items by substituting 0’s or 1’s by unknowns “?”, such that it becomes troublesome for an adversary to understand the value behind “?” which is effective and allocates certain privacy. When hiding many of the rules at one time then they need less range of database scans and prune a lot of rules.

Category-1 from the Data Distortion Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>I1, I2, I3, I4</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>12190</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T200</td>
<td>I1, I2, I3</td>
<td>10110</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>70100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>??000</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T500</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>10003</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T600</td>
<td>I1, I2</td>
<td>10100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Category-2 from the Data Distortion Phase
Implement either Category-1 or Category-2 but not both as it will lead to inverse the operations performed so far.

3. Cryptography Based Approach is applied to perform multiparty computation on distributed database over different sites. Various number of parties will be sharing their private data without disclosing any sensitive information. It is categorized as: (i) vertically partitioned distributed data and (ii) horizontally partitioned distributed data. In such perspective rather than falsifying the database, it encrypts actual database itself for sharing. The communication cost of this approach is extremely effective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>I₀, I₁, I₂, I₃, I₄, I₅</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀, I₁</td>
<td>01100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀, I₁, I₂</td>
<td>01110</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀, I₁, I₃</td>
<td>01100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀</td>
<td>00100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀, I₁, I₅</td>
<td>10001</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀₀₀</td>
<td>I₀, I₅</td>
<td>10100</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Cryptographic Technique for Association Rule Hiding

Implementation of Cryptographic Approach For Category-1 after performing Data Blocking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chicken</th>
<th>Light Cream</th>
<th>Pasta</th>
<th>Avocado</th>
<th>Shrimp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c₁</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step1: Vertical Partitioning of Data without changing the product names i.e attribute names.
To implement this step the admin has to maintain look ahead table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Dataset D</th>
<th>Modified Dataset D'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>C₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂</td>
<td>C₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃</td>
<td>C₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₄</td>
<td>C₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₅</td>
<td>C₆</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step2: Horizontal Partitioning of Data is applied to database obtained after performing Vertical Partitioning without changing the product names i.e. attribute names. R₁- Row 1 and so on
To implement this step the admin has to maintain look ahead table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Dataset D</th>
<th>Modified Dataset D'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K₁</td>
<td>K₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂</td>
<td>K₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₃</td>
<td>K₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₄</td>
<td>K₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₅</td>
<td>K₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₆</td>
<td>K₁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and Analysis
We used market_basket.csv dataset which is having 7500 transaction records with 119 products.
Transactions as itemMatrix in sparse format with
7501 rows (elements/item sets/transactions) and
119 columns (items) and a density of 0.03288973
i.e 3 % are non zeros and 97 % are zeros

First we analyzed basket size(number of products per transaction by counting them)
There are total 1754 transactions that contain only one product, there is only one transaction that contains 20 products
and on an average there are 4 products per transaction.

After Analyzing Basket size we found most frequent items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Frequent Items</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Water</td>
<td>1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eggs</td>
<td>1148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spaghetti</td>
<td>1396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French Fries</td>
<td>1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chocolate</td>
<td>1229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>22465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X axis: Product
Y axis: Item Frequency (Relative)
While fixing minimum support threshold (MST) we assumed that a specific product brought 4 times a day and in a week 28 should be the count and calculating the relative frequency i.e \( \frac{28}{7500} \) i.e 0.037 i.e 0.04

Minimum Confidence Threshold (MCT): 0.2

811 Association rules generated which satisfy both MST & MCT. We are interested in top 10
Which are sorted based on the LIFT value

Parameter Specification:
Confidence -0.2  
Minval-0.1  
Smax-1  
arem-none  
aval-False  
original support- True

Algorithmic Control:
Filter-0.1  
Tree-True  
Heap-True  
Memopt-False

Top 10 Association Rules :

Parameter Specification:
Confidence -0.2  
Minval-0.1  
Smax-1  
arem-none  
aval-False  
original support- True

Algorithmic Control:
Filter-0.1  
Tree-True  
Heap-True  
Memopt-False

Performance Evaluation
RAM: 16GB

Table 7: Performance Results on 16GB RAM Configuration System

Graph 1: CPU Performance w.r.t Memory for 16 GB RAM
Graph 2: CPU Performance w.r.t Time for 16 GB RAM

Table 8: Performance Results on 8GB RAM Configuration System

Graph 3: CPU Performance w.r.t Time for 8 GB RAM

Graph 4: CPU Performance w.r.t Memory for 8GB RAM

RAM: 4GB

Table 9: Performance Results on 4GB RAM Configuration System
Graph 5: CPU Performance w.r.t Time for 4 GB RAM

Conclusion
In this paper, we have discussed about how association rules are generated and a new approach to hide sensitive data i.e.(DBCT) a hybrid technique which applies data distortion followed by data blocking and finally cryptographic technique which is being performed in different configuration machines and generated corresponding performance metrics i.e evaluated space and time complexities. On further work, we can implement some efficient association rule hiding techniques which might give better performance results than DBCT.
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