

Prediction of Criminal Re-Offending –Brain Study

¹Dr Vinit Kumar Gunjan, ²Dr Puja S Prasad

^{1,2}Computer Science & Engineering Department, CMR Institute of Technology, Medchal, Hyderabad
Email: vinitkumargunjan@gmail.com

Received: 22nd June 2018, Accepted: 01st August 2018, Published: 31st August 2018

Abstract

This paper predicts about recurrent antisocial behaviour by measuring the anterior cingulate activity of adult offenders. Identification of key elements that predict recurrent antisocial elements for a particular person is very beneficial for judgement process during trial of criminal in court or we say in judgement procedure as well as in social sciences. It is also being very effective treatment for a person that are in a zone of high risk for doing crime again. The part of brain that is activated during aggressive impulsive or inhibitory behavior are anterior cingulate cortex. It is seen that the person who were more than twice as likely to be re-arrested within five years of their release, low anterior cingulate activity compared to those with higher activation in anterior cingulate cortex region, keeping all the criminality risk factor constant.

Keywords: Anterior Cingulate Cortex, Antisocial Behaviour, Commission Error, Go/no-Go Task.

Introduction

Risk valuation is a major factor for criminal justice procedure and as well as in taking decisions. By knowing the risk, it become very useful for the predictions of crime as well as to find, manage as well as correct antisocial behaviour. However, decisions that belief that forecasting risk about criminality saturate the judiciary system, that's begin with the approvals for bail as well as jail for sentencing, civil obligation, parole decisions, change, and Treatment plans and assessments for naming few. For predicting future antisocial behaviour practitioner opinion are taken which has been less accurate. Certain improvement are seen when static that is evidence based like age, criminal history, sex as well as dynamic risk factors like impulsivity, drug use social support are taken. Impulsivity has been assessed by personality tests Risks assessment and neuropsychological measures which is also a very important factor to predict future antisocial behaviour. Another important risk factor for predicting future antisocial behaviour is impulsive nature, disinhibition, lack of restraint etc. These latter measures value can act only like proxies and used for direct measuring of the brain's neural system as well as inhibitory

systems. The part of brain has been well identified that is liable for impulse control. The region that is responsible for these is a part of brain called ACC or Anterior Cingulate Cortex. It is actually a limbic region that is responsible for error processing, conflict monitoring, response selection as well as escaping from learning. Anterior Cingulate Cortex is vital for monitoring error and it relays information to motor areas from inferior frontal cortex and basal ganglia. These part of brain or motor areas update feedback and behavioural plan and thus facilitate learning. There is difficulty in regulating behavior when anterior cingulate is focally damaged in animals. In Humans, damage of anterior cingulate result in aggression, apathy, disinhibition. Acquired Psychopathic personality is the clinical name of a patients whose ACC has been damaged. In this paper we are going to evaluate the hypothesis that Anterior Cingulate Cortex activity is connected with a GNG called Go/no-Go task which control impulse of a person as well as how it will predict the forecast for future rearrests as well as antisocial behaviour in a longitudinal and prospective study of already released criminals. Actually low activity in Anterior Cingulate Cortex have been associated with impulse control. Kiehl et al.'s (13) studies show that Go/no-Go task commonly find the anterior cingulate cortex is the strongly involved area of brain through inhibitory control. In this our main focus is totally on the anterior cingulate region of the brain in this paper.

Survival Analysis

By using an Estimator called Kaplan–Meier estimator as it is one of the most used after clinical trials and it computes the percentage of members that survive by felony rear rest over the 6 year. This is actually the follow-up period and in this method we actually overlook the effect of another particular risk factor. For observing these (a) Anterior Cingulate cortex activity for the zero-order effects for two months of rearrests for any certain offence, (b) Unique as well as the shared effect of the Anterior cingulate cortex and their possible risk factors on about months to rearrests for any offence, Cox Distribution resample individually in a corresponding bootstrap sequence for evaluating the reliability β -coefficients after 10,000 iterations. In Cox model I the effect of error related Anterior Cingulate Cortex examined for one

month. In this model a relation is found for every one-unit increase in Anterior Cingulate Cortex activity. The seven predictors called GNG commission error rate, Revised factor scores of Hare Psychopathy Checklist, age, lifespan occurrence of alcohol or drug

abuse or dependency, and the ACC parameter is predicted in model II. Model III was very identical to model II but in this, since release event measure was defined as the presence of non- aggressive felony rear rest of any type.

			Overall			Change from Previous		
Model	Description (Crimes)	-2 Log likelihood	χ^2	Df	P value	$\Delta\chi^2$	Df	P value
I	zero-order	414.36	4.8	1	*	4.87	1	*
II	Acc	329.65	18	8	*	18.7	8	*
III	Non-Violent	246.32	27.5	8	**	30	8	*
IV	Any Crime Control Region	339.79	8.27	8	0.41	8.25	8	0.45

Table 1: Statistics of Cox Model

Predictor Zero Order	B	SE(B)	B	SE(B)	Exp(B)	96% of CI of (B)
Anterior Cingulate Cortex	-0.3	0.16	-0.3	0.15	0.73	0.54-0.97

Table 2(a): Model I

Predictor Any Crime/ACC	B	SE(B)	B	SE(B)	Exp(B)	96% of CI of (B)
Releasing Age	-0.1	0.03	-0.1	0.04	0.97	0.92-1.00
Alcohol Dependency/abuse	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.25	1.09	0.73-1.62
Drug Dependency/abuse	-0.3	0.37	-0.3	0.54	0.76	0.36-1.54
Commission Error rate	-0.1	0.12	-0.1	0.16	0.91	0.74-1.13
Anterior Cingulate Cortex	-0.7	0.22	-0.7	0.24	0.52	0.35-0.77

Table 2(b): Model II

	B	SE(B)	B	SE(B)	Exp(B)	96% of CI of (B)
Releasing Age	-0.1	0.04	-0.1	0.05	0.95	0.90-0.99
Alcohol Dependency/abuse	0.14	0.24	0.14	0.29	1.15	0.74-1.80
Drug Dependency/abuse	-0.5	0.44	-0.5	0.67	0.64	0.28-1.46
Commission Error rate	-0.1	0.14	-0.1	0.2	0.95	0.74-1.20
Anterior Cingulate Cortex	-0.9	0.25	-0.9	0.27	0.41	0.27-0.68

Table 2(c): Model III

Predictor /Control region Any Crime	B	SE(B)	B	SE(B)	Exp(B)	96% of CI of (B)
Releasing Age	-0	0.03	-0	0.04	0.98	0.94-1.05
Alcohol Dependency/abuse	-0	0.09	-0	0.22	0.99	0.69-1.44
Drug Dependency/abuse	-0	0.39	0	0.53	0.99	0.48-2.13
Commission Error rate	-0	0.12	0	0.14	0.99	0.81-1.24
mPFC	-0.2	0.12	-0.2	0.15	0.21	0.7-1.09

Table 2(d): Model IV

Materials and Methods

Members

In our experiment we have taken adult male criminals whose age was ranging from 22 to 50 years. All these members have no any previous history of any brain complication or injury. These members undergo

number of behavioural and psychological assessment as well as Go/N Go response inhibition task. They all were released and pay attention on them from 2009 to 2012. 24 months are the average follow up period.

Non-Offenders members

Another group of non- offender members is also taken so that we compare the anterior cingulate cortex

parameter for analysing the result around independent sample of 110 members whose functional imaging could define priori peak voxel. There sample were collected from Collaborative Research in Computational Neuroscience and the age ranging from 23 to 55 as well as 15 members were left handed person.

Behavioral Task

Go/no Go task was used to measure behavioural impulsivity during fMRI, that involves members to prevent a prepotent motor response means a reaction which is superior than other reaction. The experimental study was carried by using Kiehl et al. work which is focused on measuring commission error means the instances in which someone or something is erroneously included for consideration when they or it should have been excluded. Kiehl et al. (13) work we have taken that observe the subjects behaviour by giving frequently occurring target that interweaved with a less frequent distractor. The probability of most frequently occurring target is 0.84 with letter "X" and a less frequent distractor is "K" having occurrence probability is 0.16. The Subject is drilled to press the button using index finger of right hand when they saw the letter "X" and not press the button when the letter "K" came. Monitoring error related conflicts and inhibition of pre potent. After that scanning was done and approximately 15 trials are done before it.

Acquisition and Processing of Image Offender Sample

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) was done with 1.5-T Siemens Magnetom "vision" scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). In this procedure the Subjects were bring to a halt using cushions so that related artifacts avoid. At First, for obtaining detailed neuroanatomical information RQAGT was performed that give detailed information about them. (TE=4 msec; nutation angle=12°; TR=9.7 msec; FOV=250 mm; matrix=200×256; slice thickness=1 mm; NEX=1; 160 sagittal slices). No clinically significant structural abnormalities observed by a neuroradiologist. The images for the fMRI obtained after anatomical MR images, and this is called as the echo-planar images (EPI). It consists of 148 functional acquisitions, in which h each acquisition consists of 16 slices. The thickness of slice is 8 mm without any interslice gap in axial plane covering the entire brain.

Non-Offender Sample

Siemens Allegra 3-T system were used for collecting imaging data. An algorithm is used to correct the motion of functional image motion which is impartial by local signal changes. Normalized image smoothening were done at 9 mm full width at half

maximum. Remaining provisions of the sample of offender were alike as offender.

Discussion

Association Between Anterior Cingulate Cortex and Error Rate.

We scrutinised the association between percentage of commission error and anterior cingulate cortex in the Go/No-go task. As it was already expected lower Anterior Cingulate Cortex response compared to commission error percentage. Hierarchical linear regression was used and we scrutinised the association between percentage of commission errors and Anterior Cingulate Cortex response in the Go/no Go task. Corresponding mean value commission error as well as Go/no Go hitting rates were 26.05(14.00) and 97.57 (7.40) correspondingly.

From the study of hemodynamic activity inside the brain we might predict the rearrests of the offender. ACC is the anatomical region that is associated with rear rest, and it was already defined by an previous hypothesis and is responsible for processing error and inhibition in healthy adults whereas increased Anterior Cingulate Cortex activity has also been associated for improving control or inhibitory control. This type of results increases the prospect in which brain activity in the particular region called ACC Anterior Cingulate Cortex, prompted by a simple experiment, may offer Increasing efficacy to already existing behavioural risk factors that is associated with the ability to predict rear rest. In respect of that Para limbic function show the relationship between antisocial behaviour and cognitive control as well as these results support existing theories. Finally, this work actually highlights possible neuronal systems that could be embattled for action intervention. In one hypothesis it is found that there is reduction of future recidivism as Anterior Cingulate Cortex activity may help for prediction of future crime to be happen or not..

References:

1. Kiehl, K. A. (2006). A cognitive neuroscience perspective on psychopathy: Evidence for paralimbic system dysfunction. *Psychiatry research*, 142(2-3), 107-128.
2. Douglas, K. S., Webster, C. D., & Hart, S. D. (2001). HCR-20: Violence risk management companion guide. Mental Health Law & Policy Faculty Publications.
3. Bauer, L. O. (1997). Frontal P300 decrements, childhood conduct disorder, family history, and the prediction of relapse among abstinent cocaine abusers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 44(1), 1-10.

4. Aharoni, E., Vincent, G. M., Harenski, C. L., Calhoun, V. D., Sinnott-Armstrong, W., Gazzaniga, M. S., & Kiehl, K. A. (2013). Neuroprediction of future rearrest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201219302.
5. Kosson, D. S., Budhani, S., Nakic, M., Chen, G., Saad, Z. S., Vythilingam, M., ... & Blair, R. J. R. (2006). The role of the amygdala and rostral anterior cingulate in encoding expected outcomes during learning. *Neuroimage*, 29(4), 1161-1172.
6. Calhoun, V. D., Stevens, M. C., Pearlson, G. D., & Kiehl, K. A. (2004). fMRI analysis with the general linear model: removal of latency-induced amplitude bias by incorporation of hemodynamic derivative terms. *Neuroimage*, 22(1), 252-257.
7. Calhoun, V. D., Stevens, M. C., Pearlson, G. D., & Kiehl, K. A. (2004). fMRI analysis with the general linear model: removal of latency-induced amplitude bias by incorporation of hemodynamic derivative terms. *Neuroimage*, 22(1), 252-257.
8. Greely, H. T. (2009). Who knows what evil lurks in the hearts of men? Behavioral genomics, neuroscience, criminal law, and the search for hidden knowledge. *The impact of behavioral sciences on criminal law*, 161-179.
9. Freire, L., & Mangin, J. F. (2001). Motion correction algorithms may create spurious brain activations in the absence of subject motion. *NeuroImage*, 14(3), 709-722.
10. Wechsler, D. (2008). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Fourth Edition (WAIS—IV)*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
11. Ryan, J. J., Lopez, S. J., & Werth, T. R. (1999). Development and preliminary validation of a Satz-Mogel short form of the WAIS-III in a sample of persons with substance abuse disorders. *International Journal of Neuroscience*, 98(1-2), 131-140.
12. Woltering, S., Granic, I., Lamm, C., & Lewis, M. D. (2011). Neural changes associated with treatment outcome in children with externalizing problems. *Biological psychiatry*, 70(9), 873-879.
13. Callicott, J. H., & Weinberger, D. R. (1999). Neuropsychiatric dynamics: the study of mental illness using functional magnetic resonance imaging. *European journal of radiology*, 30(2), 95-104.
14. Penn, D. L., Combs, D. R., Ritchie, M., Francis, J., Cassisi, J., Morris, S., & Townsend, M. (2000). Emotion recognition in schizophrenia: Further investigation of generalized versus specific deficit models. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 109(3), 512.
15. Behere, R. V., Raghunandan, V. N. G. P., Venkatasubramanian, G., Subbakrishna, D. K., Jayakumar, P. N., & Gangadhar, B. N. (2008). Trends-a tool for recognition of emotions in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 30(1), 32.
16. Friston, K. J., Ashburner, J., Frith, C. D., Poline, J. B., Heather, J. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. (1995). Spatial registration and normalization of images. *Human brain mapping*, 3(3), 165-189.
17. Heeger, D. J., & Ress, D. (2002). What does fMRI tell us about neuronal activity? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 3(2), 142.
18. Genovese, C. R., Lazar, N. A., & Nichols, T. (2002). Thresholding of statistical maps in functional neuroimaging using the false discovery rate. *Neuroimage*, 15(4), 870-878.
19. Hornak, J., Bramham, J., Rolls, E. T., Morris, R. G., O'Doherty, J., Bullock, P. R., & Polkey, C. E. (2003). Changes in emotion after circumscribed surgical lesions of the orbitofrontal and cingulate cortices. *Brain*, 126(7), 1691-1712.
20. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*. American Psychiatric Pub.
21. Duffau, H., Denvil, D., & Capelle, L. (2002). Long term reshaping of language, sensory, and motor maps after glioma resection: a new parameter to integrate in the surgical strategy. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 72(4), 511-516.
22. Korvenoja, A., Kirveskari, E., Aronen, H. J., Avikainen, S., Brander, A., Huttunen, J., ... & Salli, E. (2006). Sensorimotor cortex localization: comparison of magnetoencephalography, functional MR imaging, and intraoperative cortical mapping. *Radiology*, 241(1), 213-222.
23. Lehericy, S., Cohen, L., Bazin, B., Samson, S., Giacomini, E., Rougetet, R., ... & Baulac, M. (2000). Functional MR evaluation of temporal and frontal language dominance compared with the Wada test. *Neurology*, 54(8), 1625-1633.
24. Springer, J. A., Binder, J. R., Hammeke, T. A., Swanson, S. J., Frost, J. A., Bellgowan, P. S., ... & Mueller, W. M. (1999). Language dominance in neurologically normal and epilepsy subjects: a functional MRI study. *Brain*, 122(11), 2033-2046.
25. Gabriel, M., Sparenborg, S., & Kubota, Y. (1989). Anterior and medial thalamic lesions, discriminative avoidance learning, and cingulate cortical neuronal activity in rabbits. *Experimental Brain Research*, 76(2), 441-457.